

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/091,484	03/07/2002	Kazuo Goto	03500.016264	9461		
5514	7590 11/20/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
FITZPATRI	CK CELLA HARPER &	POPOVIO	POPOVICI, DOV			
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW TOICE	, 111 10112		. 2625			
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2000	DATE MAILED: 11/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/091,484	GOTO, KAZUO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Dov Popovici	2625		

	Dov Popovici	2625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, at tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		,	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing d	of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	out prior to the date of filing a brief	f will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			coausc
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		, ,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-15.		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•		•
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.		Dov Popovici Primary Examiner Art Unit 2625	íu'

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added claimed limitation of "in which one data signal line is used for both sending and receiving signal data"; "for receiving data through the data signal line" and "data is sent through the one data signal line" recited in claim 1, 6, and 11, raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.